

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/462,206 04/28/2000		Bernard Dabezies	Q-57442 4790		
23373 7:	590 09/15/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			MCCARRY JR, ROBERT J		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			3617		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/462,20	DABEZIES ET AL.		•			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	. 2000	Robert J. N	AcCarry, Jr.	3617				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi period for reply is specified above, the maximum s e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF TH s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nunication. latutory period will apply and will y will, by statute, cause the apply	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be timed to be spire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this ⇔ D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>24 February</u> 200	0 <u>6</u> .		`\			
•	-	2b) ☐ This action is n						
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				•			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including							
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	·			ed in this National	Stage			
* 0	application from the Internation from the attached detailed Office action			2d				
			ios ouples flot reserve					
Attachmen	t(e)		·					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ıformal Patent Application —∙				

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

The Examiner acknowledges the amendment of the Abstract and the Specification to overcome the Objections of the Office Action dated 10/24/2005.

The Examiner also acknowledges the claim of Foreign Priority with documents filed on 01/04/2000.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nystrom (US 2,190,334) in view of Ono et al (JP11-254,063).

Nystrom discloses a method of welding at least two sheets (11, 12) in a railway vehicle body along a zone of overlap of these sheets as disclosed in column 4, lines 9-14, characterized in that at least a first of the sheets comprises, near the zone of overlap, stiffening means (18) designed to resist the bending of the sheets along the zone of overlap, in that at least one region of the first sheet is made to project, cantilever fashion, into the zone of overlap, the stiffening means being located near this cantilevered region and in that the welding installation is used to weld the sheets together along the zone of overlap. The stiffening means comprising a profile part which bent away from the first sheet.

Art Unit: 3617

Nystrom discloses all of the features as disclosed above but does not disclose laser welding of the sheets in that a pressing mechanism of the laser welding installation is made to press against another sheet so as to hold the sheets in contact with one another at the zone of overlap. Nystrom only discloses arc welding of the overlapping sheets. The general concept of using a method of "laser welding of sheets in that a pressing mechanism of the laser welding installation is made to press against another sheet so as to hold the sheets in contact with one another at the zone of overlap" is well know in the art as illustrated by Ono et al which disclose the teaching of "a method of laser welding of sheets in that a pressing mechanism is made to press against another sheet so as to hold the sheets in contact with one another at the zone of overlap" in a structure, see the abstract section. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Nystrom to include the use of a method of "laser welding of the sheets in that a pressing mechanism of the laser welding installation is made to press against another sheet so as to hold the sheets in contact with one another at the zone of overlap" in his advantageous method of welding two sheets in order to prevent misalignment of the sheet thereby improving on the vehicle vibration characteristics.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/24/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art of Nystrom does not disclose the feature of overlapping sheets as previously stated in column 2, lines 35-36. However, as stated by applicant the prior art of Nystrom discloses overlapping sheets 11 and 12 for

Art Unit: 3617

arc welding in column 4, lines 9-14. While Nystrom only discloses arc welding, the prior of Ono et al discloses the use of laser welding. As stated above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to use laser welding as a more precise connecting means for the railcar components.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. McCarry, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-6683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00am to 3:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/462,206 Page 5

Art Unit: 3617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joseph Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert J. McCarry, Jr.

Examiner
Art Unit 3617

RJM

September 11, 2006

S. JOSEPH MORANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNO OFFICE OFFICE OR 00